

Theory and Practice of Density Functional Theory

Peter E. Blöchl Institute for Theoretical Physics Clausthal University of Technology, Germany

http://www.pt.tu-clausthal.de/atp/

1



Standard model of Solid State Physics

- particles: nuclei and electrons
- interaction: electro**statics**
- equations of motion:
 - Schrödinger equation: $i\hbar\partial_t |\Psi\rangle = \hat{H}|\Psi\rangle$
 - Poisson equation:

$$\nabla^2 \Phi(\vec{r}) = \frac{1}{\epsilon_0} \rho(\vec{r})$$

but:

exponential wall of many-particle physics problem:

quantum mechanics + interaction



Density functional theory maps interacting electrons onto non-interacting quasi-electrons in an effective potential

one-particle Schrödinger equation

$$\left(-\frac{\hbar^2}{2m}\vec{\nabla}^2 + v_{eff}(\vec{r})\right)\psi_n(\vec{r}) = \psi_n(\vec{r})\epsilon_n$$

- limited to ground state properties
- based on an exact theorem
 but: implementation requires approximations

Först, Ashman, Schwarz, Blöchl, Nature 53, 427 (2004) Ashman, Först, Schwarz, Blöchl, PRB 69, 75309 (2004) Först, Ashman, Blöchl, German patent DE10303875





Total energy functional

• Minimize total energy functional:

$$E[|\psi_{n}\rangle, f_{n}] = \underbrace{\sum_{n} f_{n} \langle \psi_{n} | \frac{\hat{\vec{p}}^{2}}{2m} | \psi_{n} \rangle}_{E_{kin}} + \underbrace{\frac{1}{2} \int d^{3}r \int d^{3}r' \frac{e^{2}[n(\vec{r}) + Z(\vec{r})][n(\vec{r'}) + Z(\vec{r'})]}{4\pi\epsilon_{0} |\vec{r} - \vec{r'}|}_{E_{Hartree}}$$
$$+ \underbrace{E_{xc}[n(\vec{r})]}_{E_{xc}} - \sum_{n,m} \Lambda_{n,m} \underbrace{(\langle \psi_{n} | \psi_{m} \rangle - \delta_{n,m})}_{\text{constraint}}_{\text{constraint}}$$
with
$$\underbrace{n(\vec{r}) = \sum_{n} f_{n} | \psi(\vec{r}) |^{2}}_{\text{electron density}} \underbrace{Z(\vec{r}) = -\sum_{j} Z_{j} \delta(\vec{r} - \vec{R}_{j})}_{\text{charge density of nuclei in electron charges}}$$

• Solve Kohn-Sham equations:

$$\left[-\frac{\hbar^2}{2m}\vec{\nabla}^2 + v_{eff}(\vec{r})\right]\psi_n(\vec{r}) = \psi_n(\vec{r})\epsilon_n \quad \text{and} \quad v_{eff}(\vec{r}) = \underbrace{\int d^3r' \frac{e^2[n(\vec{r'}) + Z(\vec{r'})]}{4\pi\epsilon_0|\vec{r} - \vec{r'}|}}_{v_{Hartree}(\vec{r})} + \underbrace{\frac{\delta E_{xc}}{\delta n(\vec{r})}}_{\mu_{xc}(\vec{r})}$$



Total energy functional

• Minimize total energy functional:

$$E[|\psi_n\rangle, f_n] = \underbrace{\sum_{n} f_n \langle \psi_n | \frac{\hat{\vec{p}}^2}{2m} | \psi_n \rangle}_{E_{kin}} + \underbrace{\frac{1}{2} \int d^3r \int d^3r' \frac{e^2[n(\vec{r}) + Z(\vec{r})][n(\vec{r'}) + Z(\vec{r'})]}{4\pi\epsilon_0 | \vec{r} - \vec{r'}|}_{E_{Hartree}}$$
$$+ \underbrace{E_{xc}[n(\vec{r})]}_{E_{xc}} - \sum_{n,m} \Lambda_{n,m} \underbrace{(\langle \psi_n | \psi_m \rangle - \delta_{n,m})}_{\text{constraint}}_{\text{constraint}}$$
with
$$\underbrace{n(\vec{r}) = \sum_{n} f_n |\psi(\vec{r})|^2}_{\text{electron density}} = \underbrace{Z(\vec{r}) = -\sum_{j} Z_j \delta(\vec{r} - \vec{R}_j)}_{\text{charge density of nuclei in electron charges}}$$

• Solve Kohn-Sham equations:

$$\left[-\frac{\hbar^2}{2m}\vec{\nabla}^2 + v_{eff}(\vec{r})\right]\psi_n(\vec{r}) = \psi_n(\vec{r})\epsilon_n \quad \text{and} \quad v_{eff}(\vec{r}) = \underbrace{\int d^3r' \frac{e^2[n(\vec{r'}) + Z(\vec{r'})]}{4\pi\epsilon_0|\vec{r} - \vec{r'}|}}_{v_{Hartree}(\vec{r})} + \underbrace{\frac{\delta E_{xc}}{\delta n(\vec{r})}}_{\mu_{xc}(\vec{r})}$$



Total energy functional

• Minimize total energy functional:

$$E[|\psi_{n}\rangle, f_{n}] = \underbrace{\sum_{n} f_{n} \langle \psi_{n} | \frac{\hat{\vec{p}}^{2}}{2m} | \psi_{n} \rangle}_{E_{kin}} + \underbrace{\frac{1}{2} \int d^{3}r \int d^{3}r' \frac{e^{2}[n(\vec{r}) + Z(\vec{r})][n(\vec{r'}) + Z(\vec{r'})]}{4\pi\epsilon_{0}|\vec{r} - \vec{r'}|}_{E_{Hartree}} + \underbrace{E_{xc}[n(\vec{r})]}_{E_{xc}} - \sum_{n,m} \Lambda_{n,m} \underbrace{(\langle \psi_{n} | \psi_{m} \rangle - \delta_{n,m})}_{\text{constraint}}_{\text{constraint}}$$
with
$$n(\vec{r}) = \sum_{n} f_{n} |\psi(\vec{r})|^{2}_{electron density} = \underbrace{Z(\vec{r}) = -\sum_{j} Z_{j} \delta(\vec{r} - \vec{R}_{j})}_{charge density of nuclei in electron charges}$$

• Solve Kohn-Sham equations:

$$\left[-\frac{\hbar^2}{2m}\vec{\nabla}^2 + v_{eff}(\vec{r})\right]\psi_n(\vec{r}) = \psi_n(\vec{r})\epsilon_n \quad \text{and} \quad v_{eff}(\vec{r}) = \underbrace{\int d^3r' \frac{e^2[n(\vec{r'}) + Z(\vec{r'})]}{4\pi\epsilon_0|\vec{r} - \vec{r'}|}}_{v_{Hartree}(\vec{r})} + \underbrace{\frac{\delta E_{xc}}{\delta n(\vec{r})}}_{\mu_{xc}(\vec{r})}$$



Self-consistency loop





Car-Parrinello method

Car, Parrinello, PRL 55, 2471(1985)

- Paradigm shift:
 - eigenvalue problems
 minimize energy
- Access to the molecular dynamics









constrained search:

proof of existence by presenting a construction principle

- 1. sort all fermionic many-particle wave functions according to their density
- 2. For each density find

lausthal University of

- a. the wave function with lowest kinetic energy and
- b. the wave function with lowest kinetic and interaction energy

This defines two universal density functionals, F⁰[n] and F^w[n]

- 3. determine energy and density of the ground state for a given external potential by minimization over all densities
 - potential energy depends only on the density

 $= \min_{\substack{|\Psi\rangle}}^{\lambda^{W}(\vec{r}), E^{W}} \underbrace{\langle \Psi | \hat{T} + \hat{W} | \Psi \rangle}_{}$ $d^3r \ \lambda^W(\vec{r}) \left(\langle \Psi | \hat{n}(\vec{r}) | \Psi \rangle - n(\vec{r}) \right)$ kinetic + interaction density constraint $\min^{\lambda^0(\vec{r}),E^0}$ $+ \int d^3r \ \lambda^0(\vec{r}) \left(\langle \Psi | \hat{n}(\vec{r}) | \Psi \rangle - n(\vec{r}) \right)$ $-E^0$ $F^{0}[n] =$ $E_{0} = \min_{n(\vec{r})}^{\mu} \left\{ F^{W}[n] + \int d^{3}r \; v_{ext}(\vec{r})n(\vec{r}) - \mu \left(\int d^{3}r \; n(\vec{r}) - N \right) \right\}$

 $F^W[n] =$

$$= \min_{n(\vec{r})}^{\mu} \left\{ \underbrace{T_s[n]}_{F^0[n]} + \int d^3 r \; v_{ext}(\vec{r}) n(\vec{r}) + \underbrace{F^W[n] - F^0[n]}_{E_H + E_{xc}} - \mu \underbrace{\left(\int d^3 r \; n(\vec{r}) - N \right)}_{\text{charge constraint}} \right\}$$

|Ψ_K>

n₃(r)

, F⁰[n₃(r)]

F^w[n₃(r)]

n₄(r)

 $F^{w}[n_4(r)]$

 $\langle \Psi | \Psi \rangle - 1$

norm constrain

 $\langle \Psi | \Psi \rangle - 1$

norm constraint

 $F^{0}[n_{4}(r)]$

 $\Psi_{\rm F}$

F⁰[n₂(r)]

n₂(r)

 $F^{W}[n_{2}(r)]$

n₁(r)

 $F^{w}[n_1(r)]$

v F⁰[n₁(r)]



Exchange-correlation hole

• interaction energy

$$E_{e-e} = \frac{1}{2} \int d^3r \int d^3r' \; \frac{e^2 n^{(2)}(\vec{r}, \vec{r'})}{4\pi\epsilon_0 |\vec{r} - \vec{r'}|}$$

- two-particle density
 - n⁽²⁾(r,r') = probability density of finding one electron at r and another at r' times N(N-1) (number of pairs)
- exchange correlation hole h_{xc}(r,r')

$$n^{(2)}(\vec{r},\vec{r'}) = n^{(1)}(\vec{r})n^{(1)}(\vec{r'}) + n^{(1)}(\vec{r})h_{xc}(\vec{r'},\vec{r})$$

$$E_{e-e} = \underbrace{\frac{1}{2} \int d^3r \int d^3r' \frac{e^2 n^{(1)}(\vec{r}) n^{(1)}(\vec{r'})}{4\pi\epsilon_0 |\vec{r} - \vec{r'}|}}_{\text{Hartree energy}} + \underbrace{\int d^3r \ n^{(1)}(\vec{r}) \cdot \left[\frac{1}{2} \int d^3r' \frac{e^2 h_{xc}(\vec{r}, \vec{r'})}{4\pi\epsilon_0 |\vec{r} - \vec{r'}|}\right]}_{\text{potential energy of exchange and correlation}}$$

- properties of the exchange correlation hole
 - each electron interacts with N-1 other electrons: hole integrates to -1 electron (Charge sum rule)
 - two electrons with the same spin cannot be at the same position (Pauli principle)
 - the hole vanishes at large distances (shortsightedness)





Model for E_{xc}

- properties of the exchange correlation hole
 - each electron interacts with N-1 other electrons: hole integrates to -1 electron (Charge sum rule)
 - two electrons with the same spin cannot be at the same position (Pauli principle)
 - the hole vanishes at large distances (shortsightedness)
- Model:
 - homogeneously charged sphere

For a free electron gas not much worse than a Hartree Fock calculation





Correlation energy

$$E_{xc}[n^{(1)}] = F^W[n^{(1)}] - F^0[n^{(1)}] - E_{\text{Hartree}}$$

- Exchange energy uses hole of noninteracting electrons
- Coulomb repulsion pushes electrons away
- deformation of wave function raises kinetic energy

Correlation energy is due to the balance between energy gain by lowering the Coulomb repulsion and kinetic energy cost

adiabatic connection:

- switch the interaction slowly on and integrate opposing "force"
- never evaluate kinetic energy explicitly



lausthal University of Tech



Jacobs ladder to heaven

5. Exact: Constrained search

- 4. Hybrid functionals: Include exact (nonlocal) exchange: left-right correlations
- 3. Meta-GGA: use kinetic energy density to estimate the flexibility of the electron gas
- 2. **GGA:** asymmetry of the xc-hole favors surfaces and thus weakens bonds
- 1. LDA: xc-hole from free electron gas: strong overbinding



Local density approximation (LDA)

 Local density aproximation (LDA) imports the "exact" exchange correlation hole of a free electron gas

$$E_{xc} = \int d^3r \ n(\vec{r}) \epsilon_{xc}(n(\vec{r}))$$

Why does it work? Sum rules!

$$\epsilon_{xc} = \frac{1}{2} \frac{4\pi e^2}{4\pi\epsilon_0} \int_0^\infty dr \ r \left\langle h(\vec{r}_0, \vec{r}_0 + \vec{r}) \right\rangle_{\text{angle}}$$
$$1 = 4\pi \int_0^\infty dr \ r^2 \left\langle h(\vec{r}_0, \vec{r}_0 + \vec{r}) \right\rangle_{\text{angle}}$$

- charge sum rule exact
- only spherical average matters
- only first moment of radial hole matters







Generalized gradient approximation (GGA)

- an electron far away from an atom sees a positive ion
- the exchange hole is entirely on the atom.
- the LDA hole is centered on the electron and smeared out over a wide region.
- LDA overestimates the exchange energy at the surface

$$\epsilon_{xc}(r \to \infty) = \underbrace{-\frac{1}{2} \frac{e^2}{4\pi\epsilon} r^{-1}}_{GGA} << \underbrace{A \exp(-\lambda r)}_{LDA}$$

• GGA stabilizes the surfaces (overbinding reduced)

binding energies are dramatically improved DFT became of interest to chemists



Clausthal University of Technole



Hybrid functionals

• adiabatic connection

 $\hat{H}(\lambda) = \hat{H}_0 + \lambda \hat{W}$

$$E_{xc} = \int_{0}^{1} d\lambda \underbrace{\int d^{3}r \ n(\vec{r}) \frac{1}{2} \int d^{3}r' \frac{e^{2}h_{\lambda}(\vec{r}, \vec{r'})}{4\pi\epsilon_{0}|\vec{r} - \vec{r'}|}}_{dE/d\lambda}$$
$$= \int d^{3}r \ n(\vec{r}) \frac{1}{2} \int d^{3}r' \frac{e^{2}\int_{0}^{1} d\lambda h_{\lambda}(\vec{r}, \vec{r'})}{4\pi\epsilon_{0}|\vec{r} - \vec{r'}|}$$

- approximate integral by weighted sum of the values at the end-points of the interval
 - Hartree-Fock exchange introduces nonlocality
 - finite band gaps for Mott insulators
 - correct dissociation limit of bonds
 - related to GW, LDA+U, etc.



$$\begin{split} E_{xc} &= \int d\lambda \, U_{xc}(\lambda) \\ &\approx \frac{1}{4} U_{xc}(\lambda = 0) + \frac{3}{4} U_{xc}(\lambda = 1) \\ &= \frac{1}{4} \underbrace{U_{xc}^{HF}}_{E_x^{HF}} - \frac{1}{4} \underbrace{U_{xc}^{GGA}}_{E_x^{GGA}} + \underbrace{\frac{1}{4} U_{xc}^{GGA}(\lambda = 0) + \frac{3}{4} U_{xc}^{GGA}(\lambda = 1)}_{E_{xc}^{GGA}} \\ &= E_{xc}^{GGA} + \frac{1}{4} \left(E_x^{HF} - E_x^{GGA} \right) \end{split}$$



Band-gap problem

- GGA's underestimate band gaps
 - in silicon 0.7 eV instead of 1.17 eV
- Many transition metal oxides are metals instead of insulators!
- Problem: energy of average density instead of average of energies





Electronic structure methods:

how to solve the Kohn-Sham equations

augmented wave methods

Korringa Kohn Rostocker (KKR), augmented plane wave (APW) method

pseudopotentials

Hamann-Bachelet-Schlueter, Troulier-Martins, Kleinman-Bylander, Vanderbilt ultrasoft

linear methods

linear augmented muffin tin-orbital (LMTO) method linear augmented plane wave (LAPW) method

projector augmented wave method



What is the problem?

- wave function oscillates strongly in the atomic region (Coulomb singularity)
- 2. wave function needs to be very flexible in the bonding region and the tails (Chemistry)
- 3. most electrons (core) are "irrelevant"
- 4. relativistic effects
- 5. tiny but finite nucleus





Strategies

Pseudopotentials



- node-less wave functions
- no core states
- no information on inner electrons
- transferability problems

Augmented waves



- start with envelope function
- replace incorrect shape with atomic partial waves
- complex basisset
- retain full information on wave functions and potential

Hamann-Bachelet-Schlüter, Kerker, Kleinman-Bylander, Troullier Martins, Ultrasoft,etc

LMTO, ASW, LAPW, APW, KKR, PAW, (all-electron)



PAW augmentation















PAW augmentation





PAW total energy





- VASP: [Paier, Hirschl, Marsman, Kresse JCP122, 234102 (1005)]
- GPAW: [Carsten Rostgaard]

PBE atomization energies relative to Gaussian:

Atomization energy error in kcal/mol





The End