# Multi-Orbital Cluster Perturbation Theory for Transition-Metal Oxides

#### Franca Manghi

University of Modena and Reggio Emilia - Italy





# CPT basics

- Benchmarking CPT
- CPT for transition-metal oxides



# Benchmarking CPT

### • CPT for transition-metal oxides

#### Cluster Perturbation Theory Senechal et al PRL 84 (2000) Senechal,Lecture Notes vol 5 (2015)

CPT, together with Dynamical Cluster Approach and Cellular Dynamical Mean Field Theory, belongs to the class of Quantum Cluster theories *Maier et al, Rev. Mod Phys. 77 (2005)* 

A *divide et impera* strategy: solve the many body problem in a subsystem of finite size and then embed it within the infinite medium





The lattice is seen as the periodic repetition of identical clusters ("tiling").



The lattice is seen as the periodic repetition of identical clusters ("tiling"). The Hamiltonian is written as the sum of two terms, an intra-cluster and an inter-cluster one

Intra- cluster

 $\hat{H} = \sum_{l} \hat{H}_{l} + \sum_{l} \hat{H}_{ll'}$ 

Inter- cluster



The lattice is seen as the periodic repetition of identical clusters ("tiling"). The Hamiltonian is written as the sum of two terms, an intra-cluster and an inter-cluster one



 $\hat{H}_{ll'}$ 



 $\alpha\beta \ ij.l \neq l'$ 

The lattice is seen as the periodic repetition of identical clusters ("tiling"). The Hamiltonian is written as the sum of two terms, an intra-cluster and an inter-cluster one



 $\hat{H}_{ll'}$ 

and the many-body term is in the intra-cluster only Two (trivial) limiting cases:

- U<<t non-interacting electrons</li>
- U>>t atomic limit

Two (trivial) limiting cases:

- U<<t non-interacting electrons</li>
- U>>t atomic limit

... but the interesting physics comes from the coexistence of the two terms In the limit U>>t



no mixing between atoms belonging to different clusters

the eigenstates of the extended lattice are a product of the few-body eigenstates of the isolated cluster

$$|\Psi_n^N\rangle = |\Phi_n^N\rangle = \prod_{l=1}^{L \to \infty} |\phi_n^K(l)\rangle$$

The partition of the Hamiltonian into intra-cluster and intercluster terms gives rise to some **exact** expressions and suggests some relevant **approximations**.

$$\hat{G}^{-1}(z) \equiv z - \hat{H}_c - \hat{V} \qquad \hat{G}^{c^{-1}} \equiv z - \hat{H}_c$$
$$\hat{G}^{-1}(z) = \hat{G}^{c^{-1}} - \hat{V} \qquad \qquad \hat{G} = \hat{G}^c + \hat{G}^c \hat{V} \hat{G} \qquad \qquad \text{Dyson-like equation}$$



Hole and particle propagators as expectation values of the resolvent over the interacting state with one removed/added particle

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{G}^{-}(\mathbf{k}n\omega) &= \langle \Psi_{0}^{N} | \, \hat{c}_{\mathbf{k}n}^{\dagger} \, \hat{G}(-\omega + E_{0}^{N} + i\eta) \, \hat{c}_{\mathbf{k}n} \, | \Psi_{0}^{N} \rangle \\ \mathcal{G}^{+}(\mathbf{k}n\omega) &= \langle \Psi_{0}^{N} | \, \hat{c}_{\mathbf{k}n} \, \hat{G}(-\omega + E_{0}^{N} + i\eta) \, \hat{c}_{\mathbf{k}n}^{\dagger} \, | \Psi_{0}^{N} \rangle \end{aligned}$$

 $\mathcal{G}(\mathbf{k}n\omega) = \mathcal{G}^+(\mathbf{k}n\omega) + \mathcal{G}^-(\mathbf{k}n\omega)$ 



Hole and particle propagators as expectation values of the resolvent over the interacting state with one removed/added particle

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{G}^{-}(\mathbf{k}n\omega) &= \langle \Psi_{0}^{N} | \, \hat{c}_{\mathbf{k}n}^{\dagger} \, \hat{G}(-\omega + E_{0}^{N} + i\eta) \, \hat{c}_{\mathbf{k}n} \, | \Psi_{0}^{N} \rangle \\ \mathcal{G}^{+}(\mathbf{k}n\omega) &= \langle \Psi_{0}^{N} | \, \hat{c}_{\mathbf{k}n} \, \hat{G}(-\omega + E_{0}^{N} + i\eta) \, \hat{c}_{\mathbf{k}n}^{\dagger} \, | \Psi_{0}^{N} \rangle \end{aligned}$$

$$\mathcal{G}(\mathbf{k}n\omega) = \mathcal{G}^+(\mathbf{k}n\omega) + \mathcal{G}^-(\mathbf{k}n\omega)$$

Since we are looking for a relationship between lattice and cluster Green's function it is useful to introduce a transformation from Bloch to localized basis



$$\hat{c}_{\mathbf{k}n} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{K \times L}} \sum_{il\alpha} \mathcal{C}_{i\alpha}^{n}(\mathbf{k}) e^{i\mathbf{k} \cdot (\mathbf{R}_{l} + \mathbf{r}_{i})} \hat{c}_{il\alpha}$$

$$\mathcal{G}(\mathbf{k}n\omega) = \frac{1}{K} \sum_{ii'\alpha\beta} e^{-i\mathbf{k}\cdot(\mathbf{r}_i - \mathbf{r}_{i'})} \mathcal{C}_{i\alpha}^n(\mathbf{k})^* \mathcal{C}_{i'\beta}^n(\mathbf{k}) \mathcal{G}_{i\alpha i'\beta}(\mathbf{k}\omega)$$

$$\mathcal{G}_{i\alpha i'\beta}(\mathbf{k}\omega) = \frac{1}{L} \sum_{ll'} e^{-i\mathbf{k}\cdot(\mathbf{R}_l - \mathbf{R}_{l'})} \mathcal{G}_{i\alpha i'\beta}(\omega)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{G}_{\substack{i\alpha i'\beta\\ll'}}(\omega) &= \left\langle \Psi_0^N \left| \hat{c}_{il\alpha}^{\dagger} \left( \hat{G}^c(\omega) + \hat{G}^c(\omega) \hat{V} \hat{G}(\omega) \right) \hat{c}_{i'l'\beta} \right| \Psi_0^N \right\rangle \\ &+ \left\langle \Psi_0^N \left| \hat{c}_{il\alpha} \left( \hat{G}^c(\omega) + \hat{G}^c(\omega) \hat{V} \hat{G}(\omega) \right) \hat{c}_{i'l'\beta}^{\dagger} \right| \Psi_0^N \right\rangle \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{split} |\Psi_0^N\rangle &\sim |\Phi_0^N\rangle = \prod_{l=1}^{L \to \infty} |\phi_{0,l}^K(l)\rangle \\ \sum_m |\Phi_m^{N-1}\rangle \langle \Phi_m^{N-1}| \ \sim \ \sum_{il\alpha} \hat{c}_{il\alpha} |\Phi_0^N\rangle \langle \Phi_0^N| \, \hat{c}_{il\alpha}^{\dagger} = 1 \\ \sum_m |\Phi_m^{N+1}\rangle \langle \Phi_m^{N+1}| \ \sim \ \sum_{il\alpha} \hat{c}_{il\alpha}^{\dagger} |\Phi_0^N\rangle \langle \Phi_0^N| \, \hat{c}_{il\alpha} = 1 \end{split}$$

$$\mathcal{G}_{i\alpha i'\beta}(\mathbf{k}\omega) = \mathcal{G}^{c}_{i\alpha i'\beta}(\omega) + \sum_{i'''\gamma'} B_{i\alpha i''\gamma'}(\mathbf{k}\omega) \mathcal{G}_{i'''\gamma'\beta}(\mathbf{k}\omega)$$

1

$$\mathcal{G}_{i\alpha i'\beta}(\mathbf{k}\omega) = \mathcal{G}^{c}_{i\alpha i'\beta}(\omega) + \sum_{i'''\gamma'} B_{i\alpha i'''\gamma'}(\mathbf{k}\omega) \mathcal{G}_{i'''\gamma'i'\beta}(\mathbf{k}\omega)$$



Green's function for an isolated cluster

$$\mathcal{G}_{i\alpha i'\beta}^{c}(\omega) = \sum_{n} \frac{\langle \phi_{0}^{K} | \hat{c}_{i\alpha}^{\dagger} | \phi_{n}^{K-1} \rangle \langle \phi_{n}^{K-1} | \hat{c}_{i'\beta} | \Phi_{0}^{K} \rangle}{\omega - (E_{0}^{K} - E_{n}^{K-1})} + \sum_{n} \frac{\langle \phi_{0}^{K} | \hat{c}_{i\alpha} | \phi_{n}^{K+1} \rangle \langle \phi_{n}^{K+1} | \hat{c}_{i'\beta}^{\dagger} | \phi_{0}^{K} \rangle}{\omega - (E_{n}^{K+1} - E_{0}^{K})}$$

#### **Cluster Exact Diagonalization**



Lanczos algorithm to obtain *some* cluster eigenstates and from them the cluster Green fnct. in the Lehman representation

At the end the spectral function is obtained

$$A(\mathbf{k}\omega) = \frac{1}{\pi}\sum_{n}Im\mathcal{G}(\mathbf{k}n\omega)$$

At the end the spectral function is obtained

$$A(\mathbf{k}\omega) = \frac{1}{\pi}\sum_{n}Im\mathcal{G}(\mathbf{k}n\omega)$$

and compared with experiments – quasi-particle band structure



#### **CPT IN PRACTICE**

- Choose a "tiling" of the lattice
- Perform Exact diagonalization for a single cluster and calculate G<sub>cluster</sub>
- "periodicize" it by solving the Dyson-like equation by matrix inversion
- Switch appropriately from localized to Bloch basis
- Obtain G(k,n,ω), spectral functions and quasiparticle band structure

### **CPT implementation - The role of symmetry**

Large arbitrariness exists in the choice of the elementary unit that describes a crystalline solid:

either the primitive cell that contains the minimum number of atoms, or any larger unit that, via translation invariance, reproduces the crystalline lattice.



the band structure of **non-interacting electrons** can be calculated using unit cells containing a variable number of atoms, providing exactly the same result, except for a trivial "band folding" that can be easily eliminated



The situation is quite different for **interacting electrons** as described by QC theories.

- The e-e interaction gives rise to hopping renormalization inside the cluster, while the inter-cluster hopping is unaffected.
- Translation symmetry is preserved only at the superlattice level
- Cluster must have the same rotational symmetry of the lattice



Quasiparticle energies should be identical at k-points K<sub>1</sub> and K<sub>2</sub> connected by a point-group rotation but for the 4-site chain and the 6-site rectangle they are not



Quasiparticle energies at k and Rk are different, violating a very basic rule of solid state theory.

- In any QC approach cluster symmetry should be as close as possible to the lattice one.
- Any significant deviation from this requirement would induce a wrong behaviour of the quasi-particle band dispersion.



# Benchmarking CPT

### CPT for transition-metal oxides

Many-body quasi-particle band structure calculations rely on approximations that may work as ad-hoc ingredients that affect the final result

- different single-particle band structure as a starting point
- different strategies to correct the double-counting of e-e interaction, etc.

$$\mathcal{G}(\mathbf{k}n\omega) = \frac{1}{\omega - e_{\mathbf{k}n} - \Sigma(\mathbf{k}n\omega)}$$



Self-energy scheme (3BS) vs CPT

### Square lattice at half occupation



#### *In both cases Mott-insulator at sufficiently large values of U but different values of Uc*



Different quasi-particle k-dispersion

**CPT** 

*CPT Self-energy is strongly k-dependent* 

*CPT Self-energy is strongly k-dependent* 

$$\Sigma(\mathbf{k}n\omega) = \omega - e_{\mathbf{k}n} - \mathcal{G}(\mathbf{k}n\omega)^{-1}$$



*CPT Self-energy is strongly k-dependent* 

$$\Sigma(\mathbf{k}n\omega) = \omega - e_{\mathbf{k}n} - \mathcal{G}(\mathbf{k}n\omega)^{-1}$$

Model systems – good to validate approximate methods

- Model systems good to validate approximate methods
- and a playground to search novel phenomena

Strongly correlated electrons under external periodic driving

Time-dependent periodic fields are an external tunable control to engineer new phases.

Photo-induced insulator-to-metal transitions in 1D?



Periodically driven interacting electrons in 1D: a many-body Floquet approach Puviani and FM to appear on PRB



#### Haldane/Kane/Mele model of graphene



gapped bulk bands

gapless edge states

 $\pi/2$ 

the emergence of non-vanishing <u>topological</u> <u>invariants</u> ensures the robustness of the edge states.



#### Haldane/Kane/Mele model of graphene + e-e

The inverse of the Green's function at zero frequency defines a fictitious non-interacting topological hamiltonian

$$h_{topo}(k) \equiv -G^{-1}(k,0)$$



Topological invariants in interacting Quantum Spin Hall: a CPT approach New J. Phys. 17 (2015) 023004

Topological properties of the bond-modulated honeycomb lattice Phys.Rev.B91:115112 (2015)



# Benchmarking CPT

### • CPT for transition-metal oxides



	MnO	FeO	CoO	NiO
$n_{t2g}$	4.941	5.770	5.961	5.966
$n_{eg}$	0.599	0.672	1.614	2.556
$n_d$	5.540	6.441	7.575	8.522

#### Tiling the rocksalt structure



The bands of TM oxides around the Fermi Energy are described by 9 spd orbitals for each TM atom and 4 sp orbitals for each oxygen.

Then the dimension of the Hilbert space spanned by the Slater determinants obtained by populating in all possible ways K=26 orbitals with P electrons of a given spin ( $P = 13 \div 16$  from MnO to NiO) is far too big for exact diagonalization.

#### Tiling – a multi partition strategy

A reduction of the number of sites/orbitals per cluster is mandatory.

To this end we identify, within a single cluster, two classes of orbitals A and B centered on different sites and with different symmetry

We write the cluster Hamiltonian as the sum of on-site and inter-site terms connecting all orbitals: A-A, B-B (diagonal) and A-B (off diagonal)

$$\hat{H}_c = \hat{H}^{\text{diag}} + \hat{V}_{AB}$$
$$\hat{H}^{\text{diag}} = \hat{H}_c^{AA} + \hat{H}_c^{BB}$$
$$\hat{G}^{c^{-1}} = z - \hat{H}_c = (\hat{G}^{\text{diag}})^{-1} - \hat{V}_{AB}$$

As before this turns out into a Dyson-like eqn. for resolvents

$$\hat{G}^c = \hat{G}^{\text{diag}} + \hat{G}^{\text{diag}} \,\hat{V}_{AB} \,\hat{G}^c$$

# Multiple partition - within the lattice and within the cluster

CPT prescriptions may be rephrased as follows:

- chose a partition of the lattice Hamiltonian into a set of non overlapping clusters connected by inter-cluster hopping;
- make a further partition inside each cluster defining a suitable collection of sites/orbitals;
- perform separate exact diagonalizations plus matrix inversion to calculate the cluster Green function in local basis
- finally obtain the full lattice Green function in Bloch basis

- This technique can be extended to more than two subsets of sites/orbitals, and we have applied it to a triple partition (subsets A, B and C)
- It has the advantage to replace an unmanageable exact diagonalization with two or more separate ones followed by a matrix inversion.
- It shares with CPT the assumption about the states of the interacting electrons – a drastic approximation whose validity must be verified performing calculations with different partitions and/or finding explicit rules for the adopted choice.
- These rules must be based on sound conjectures and will be inevitably system-dependent.

	MnO	FeO	CoO	NiO
$n_{t2g}$	4.941	5.770	5.961	5.966
$n_{eg}$	0.599	0.672	1.614	2.556
$n_d$	5.540	6.441	7.575	8.522

Only partially occupied shells are affected by e-e interaction this suggests different partitions for TM oxides

NiO, CoO with only eg orbitals centered on the two TM atoms in the plaquette

FeO, MnO with both t2g - eg orbitals















MnO

NiO





#### Concluding remarks

- CPT is a prototype of QC method. Its application to model systems shows the importance of cluster symmetry in all QC calculations
- CPT describes novel phases (topological, out of equilibrium) of correlated systems
- For real materials a multi-partition strategy has been devised to deal with exceedingly large dimensions of Hilbert space.



