Strong Correlations at Oxide Interfaces: What is hidden in a plane view?

Jak Chakhalian

Laboratory for Artificial Quantum Materials

Rutgers University



Lecture given at the Julich Autumn School on Orbital Physics in Correlated Matter, Sept 2023





LABORATORY FOR ARTIFICIAL QUANTUM MATTER AT RUTGERS



Topics I plan to covered

- What are quantum materials (QMs)?
- What "knobs" do we have for controlling <u>synthetic quantum matter</u> (SQM) ?
- QMs designer toolkit:
 - Epitaxial stabilization
 - Solid Phase Epitaxy (SPE)
 - Defects
 - Polarity and ways to compensation it
 - Geometrical lattice engineering
 - Strain

 Selected examples - 2D spin and orbit polarized metal and synthetic Quantum Spin Liquid

Jak Chakhalian, Rutgers

What are quantum materials ?

Emerging phenomena in quantum matter



Irrational approach to materials design





5,000 Tries for the Key Chemicals

HOW THE FILM WORKS

Rational approach to materials design



Marcel Proust

`The real voyage of discovery consists of not in seeking new landscapes but in having new eyes.'

In Search of Lost Time

Broken symmetry and order parameter

paramete

control

o



Broken symmetry means the appearance of an ordered phase with a non-zero order parameter

Interfaces as new phases of quantum matter ?



Lev Landau Nobel prize 1962 Landau `recipe' of getting new collective phases and states →

The sudden disappearance of an element(s) of symmetry in one phase leads to the occurrence of a phase transition into a new phase of lower symmetry.

Goal: Assume control over symmetry breaking \equiv Devise new designer phases

From thin films to ultra - quantum materials



Anatomy of an interface

strain due to lattice mismatch

chemical frustration 11

Interface is a tool for breaking symmetries Emergent quantum states energy scales length scales



JC et al, Rev. Mod. Phys. 86, 1189, (2014)

Control of quantum phases: bulk vs. heterostructures





Interface controlled quantum materials

Doping Pressure Magnetic Field Polymorphs Charge transfer and Electrostatic gating Strain Magnetic field Designer lattice symmetry Quantum confinement Interface Enables latent interactions

Why creating new quantum materials is hard?

 Growth of complex materials is still poorly understood on the atomic level → The existing knowledge is largely phenomenological, often intuition based.

Jak Chakhalian, Rutgers

- Modern day `quantum alchemy'.
- For complex matter atomic theory of nucleation and growth does not exist and unlikely (?) feasible in the near future.
- Corollary → Every non-trivial new quantum material (bulk and film) often requires months or years of "alchemy" work. This work more often than not is based on experience and luck.

Designer's toolkit for making

synthetic quantum materials

Epitaxial Stabilization 101 or

Why do films grow **far away outside** of their thermodynamic stability range ?

Nucleation and initial growth



How crystals grow and why films are not thin bulk crystals

(



Formation of a solid spherical crystal inside homogeneous liquid:

Gibbs energy
$$\longrightarrow \Delta G = 4\pi r^2 \gamma + 4\pi r^3 \Delta G_V / 3$$
 (1)
surface >0 free energy per volume <0

$$d(\Delta G)/dr = 0 \longrightarrow r^* = -2\gamma/\Delta G_{\rm V}$$
 (2)

In modern literature the critical size r^* is represented as I^* in units of atoms

For the critical radius, the critical energy barrier to the new phase:

$$\Delta G^* = 16 \gamma \pi^3 / 3 (\Delta G_V)^2$$

 $r^* \propto \gamma / \Delta G_V$ and $\Delta G^* \propto \gamma^3 / (\Delta G)^2$

Nucleation of a new crystal phase from physical vapor Jak Chakhalian, Rutgers 18

For practical purpose we better use the equation from the 1st and 2d laws of thermodynamics :

 $r^* = 2\Omega\gamma/kT_s\ell n(\zeta)$ Here $\zeta = P/P_{vp}$ or $\zeta = J/J_{vp}$ and is known as supersaturation From the kinetic equation we can get flux: $J(cm^{-2} s^{-1}) = 3.513 \times 10^{22} P/(mT)^{1/2}$

Example of Au growth on NaCl (100) surface

J is about 1×10^{13} cm⁻² s⁻¹ (~ 0.01 ML/s) and m_{Au} = 196.97 amu T_s = 300 K which corresponds to the deposition pressure P_{Au} ~ 7 × 10⁻⁸ torr.

The extrapolated vapor pressure P_{vp} of Au at 300 K is ~ 10^{-30} Torr

Thus, ζ in this hypothetical experiment is ~ 7 × 10²²

together with $\Omega_{Au} = 12.51 \text{ A}^{\circ 3}$ with $A_{U} = 12.51 \text{ A}^{\circ 3}$ and the energy cost for surface $\gamma_{NaCI} = 0.014 \text{ eV/A}^{\circ 2}$ with $\gamma_{Au} = 0.088 \text{ eV/A}^{\circ 2}$ into Eq. (2)

yields $r^* = 1.37 \text{ A}^\circ$ which corresponds approximately to $i^* = 1$

A paradox of thin film growth or why films are not crystals

Consider EuNiO₃ perovskite

Jak Chakhalian, Rutgers

19

Bulk EuNiO₃ synthesis requires **T>1400** °C and **P>100 Bar** (<**50 micron** in size)

But we grow EuNiO₃ thin films at T=600-700 °C and P=1.3*10-4 Bar (5 mm x 5 mm in size) !!?

Q: How is it possible at all ?

Why do the films grow ?

Growth of single-phase films can occur under thermodynamic conditions ($P_{O2} - T$) where a compound as

a bulk material is thermodynamically unstable

Phase composition of **polycrystalline** thin films is the same as predicted by **bulk phase diagrams**.



 $RNiO_3 \leftrightarrow R_2O_3 + NiO$ or more generally $ABO_x \Leftrightarrow AO + BO_{x-1} + O_2$

If interface is coherent \rightarrow a dramatic decrease in contribution to the free energy from the **film/substrate interface**.

$$\begin{split} \Delta E^{ic} - \Delta E^c = h[\underline{\Delta g_V^{ic} - \Delta g_V^c} - \frac{\mu}{1 - \nu} \epsilon^2] + [\underline{\sigma_s^{ic} - \sigma_s^c}] &, \Delta g_V^{ic} < \Delta g_V^c \\ \hline \mathbf{<0} & \mathbf{<0}$$

ES /epitaxial stabilization/ is an extension of the *P* - *T* - *x* space of the thermodynamically stable epitaxial phase with respect to the bulk phase or the phase which is free from the interface

Gorbenko et al, Chem. Mat. 2002, 14, 4026 and A. Kaul et al, Rus. Chem. Rev. 73 (9) 861 (2004); Novojilov et al, APL, 76, 2041, 2000

Question: Why do the films grow outside of phase diagram conditions ?

Answer: Because of epitaxial stabilization meaning

If 2 or more chemical phases compete during the nucleation the phase with lattice most coherent to substrate wins.

Films, unlike crystals, are metastable phases.

A recommended review: Gorbenko et al, Chem. Mat. 2002, 14, 4026

Preparation of atomically flat surfaces



Growth by Pulsed laser deposition (PLD) or Laser MBE

Q: How do we know how atomic layers we grow ?

A: In-situ `eyes' for thickness and symmetry RHEED = Reflected High Energy Electron Diffraction

movie courtesy of D. Blanck, U. Of Twente

Jak Chakhalian, Rutgers 23

Laser MBE deposition sequence (not a simulation!)

After a series of laser pulses an AFM scan is performed



Jak Chakhalian, Rutgers

Movie courtesy of University of Twente, D. Blank group

Jak Chakhalian, Rutgers 🬈

What is strain?

and how to use it

Strain define

lattice mismatch ε =

x

Mhan two discimilar materic

1% strain = 2 GPa rhombohedral ($R\overline{3}c$) norhombic (Pbmn) Pbmn) •x Negative pressure ? ortho $a^{0}a^{0}c^{+}$ $a^{0}a^{0}c^{-}$ a^0c^{-} ort x





symmetry mismatch

Strain in complex oxide materials





New Phases by Geometric Lattice Engineering

2 unit cells of perovskite ABO₃

Monolayer of a Kitaev magnet



<111>

Theory:

S. Okomoto, Phys. Rev. Lett. **110**, 066403 Di Xiao et al, Nat. Comm. 2011

Experiments:

Xioran Liu, JC, *MRS Communications* **6**, 133–144 (2016) Xiaoran Liu, JC, et al, Nano Lett. 2019, 19, 12, 8381–8387 Xiaoran Liu, JC et al, Nano Lett. 2021, 21, 2010–2017



High symmetry directions like [111] are often polar

Polar Mismatch or avoided 'polar catastrophe'

Polar mismatch and avoided catastrophe problem from the polar interface



Potential developed across a unit cell of perovskite structure:

ABO₃ which is ../A³⁺O²⁻/B³⁺O²⁻/A³⁺O²⁻/B³⁺O²⁻/...

the answer is few 10 of eV per u.c.! Recall gap in insulators is about 1-5 eV.

Theoretically: Conduction band will "run" into valence band resulting in rapid metallization of thin films

Experiment suggests much more complex picture

JC et al, Scientific Report, 4:6819 (2015)

Polar mismatch can be avoided by substrate choice³²





Polar compensated during the growth



Chemistry and structure of **Honeycomb** NdNiO₃



Jak Chakhalian, Rutgers

34

Middey et. al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 116, 056801 (2016)



Two Examples

Spin and Orbit 2D electron gas Quantum gapless spin liquid



Examples

Orbital and spin polarized

synthetic 2D metal



Designer tri-color superlattice with controlled interactions





playground



Jak Chakhalian, Rutgers

Interfacial charge and "spin" transfer



Charges across the interfaces



Jak Chakhalian, Rutgers

Interfacial charge and "spin" transfer



Jak Chakhalian, Rutgers

Orbitally selective Kondo effect



The spatial separation of d_{xy} and d_{xz}/d_{yz} is the combined effect of electrostatic energy and crystal field splitting.

Coey, Ariando, and Pickett, MRS Bulletin 2013

Jak Chakhalian, Rutgers



FM interaction between localized and itinerant electrons

Electrons from 1D "chains" interact FM with YTiO₃ electrons



Jak Chakhalian, Rutgers



45

How to liquify spins ? Fractionalized fermions, topological order and all that

WHY QUANTUM SPIN LIQUIDS (QSL) ARE INTERESTING ?

- 1. Most QSLs are flat band systems, if doped may result in hight (room ?) Tc SC.
- for D>1 fractional excitations interact with each other through emerging gauge fields, giving rise to string- and loop-like non-local excitations.
- 3. QSLs sustain a new type of non-local order, called *"topological order" without Landau broken symmetry*.

few candidate materials exist ?





('proximal' QSL) or defective or not verified below 1.5K

DESIGNER EXTREMELY FRUSTRATED MAGNETS: A WAY TO LIQUIFY ORDERED SPINS

We start from a normal spinel oxide AB₂O₄, e.g.CoCr₂O₄



Claudine Lacroix Philippe Mendels Frederic Mila, Introduction to Frustrated Magnetism, Springer (164)

DESIGNER FRUSTRATED MAGNETS

49



Xiaoran Liu et al, Appl. Phys. Lett. 106, 071603 (2015)

NEW SYNTHETIC QUANTUM STRUCTURE /CCO111/



PERFECT CHEMICAL QUALITY OF CC0111





TORQUE MAGNETOMETRY



WHAT KIND OF QSL ?



QSL ARISES FROM INTERACTIONS DUE TO INTERFACE !





Interface 'kills' J_{Cr-Cr}^{nnn} term and amplifies frustration

IDEAS and CHALLENGES

56

more in Chapter 9 in the lecture notes

- 1. If you replace a nano-seconds **UV laser** with a **femtoseconds** one, what **synthesis** regime can we reach? The intrigue here is in the femtosecond regime. There is no time for heat dissipation as phonons are too slow / pico-seconds timescale /.
- 2. What happens if you combine different topological classes and antagonistic orders e.g. Dirac electrons with Cooper pairs or Cooper pairs and magnetic monopoles of a spinice?
- 3. Can you think of a design approach for structures that can 'zoom in' on a specific term of a Hamiltonian?
- 4. Can you create **structures** holding **quantum chaos**?
- 5. What about **structures** that reach **quantum hydrodynamics**?
- 7. What designer structures can directly reveal the entanglement of fermions ?

Q: Is there unseen universe hidden in the interface ?

Thank you ! **Questions**?



Jak Chakhalian, Rutgers

57

A: "There is no question there is an unseen universe. The question is: how far is it from midtown, and how late is it open?"

Woody Allen