Quantum Magnetism: In- and Out-of-Equilibrium

Salvatore R. Manmana Institute for Theoretical Physics, Georg-August-University Göttingen



Autumn School on Correlated Electrons: Correlations and Phase Transitions FZ Jülich, September 16th – 20th 2024

Magnetism



Von Geek3 - Eigenes Werk, CC BY-SA 3.0, https://commons.wikimedia.org/w/index.php?curid=10587119

• Magnetism occurs in natural minerals like magnetite — already discovered in ancient times, used, e.g., in China • Classically, magnetism is not possible — Bohr-van Leeuwen theorem!

$$Z \propto \int d^{3N} p \int d^{3N} r \, e^{-\beta H \left(\mathbf{p}_i - \frac{q_i}{c} \mathbf{A}(\mathbf{r}_i), \mathbf{r}_i\right)} \longrightarrow \int d^{3N} p' \int d^3 r \, e^{-\beta H \left(\mathbf{p}_i - \frac{q_i}{c} \mathbf{A}(\mathbf{r}_i), \mathbf{r}_i\right)} \longrightarrow \int d^{3N} p' \int d^3 r \, e^{-\beta H \left(\mathbf{p}_i - \frac{q_i}{c} \mathbf{A}(\mathbf{r}_i), \mathbf{r}_i\right)} \longrightarrow \int d^{3N} p' \int d^3 r \, e^{-\beta H \left(\mathbf{p}_i - \frac{q_i}{c} \mathbf{A}(\mathbf{r}_i), \mathbf{r}_i\right)} \longrightarrow \int d^{3N} p' \int d^3 r \, e^{-\beta H \left(\mathbf{p}_i - \frac{q_i}{c} \mathbf{A}(\mathbf{r}_i), \mathbf{r}_i\right)} \longrightarrow \int d^{3N} p' \int d^3 r \, e^{-\beta H \left(\mathbf{p}_i - \frac{q_i}{c} \mathbf{A}(\mathbf{r}_i), \mathbf{r}_i\right)} \longrightarrow \int d^{3N} p' \int d^3 r \, e^{-\beta H \left(\mathbf{p}_i - \frac{q_i}{c} \mathbf{A}(\mathbf{r}_i), \mathbf{r}_i\right)} \longrightarrow \int d^{3N} p' \int d^3 r \, e^{-\beta H \left(\mathbf{p}_i - \frac{q_i}{c} \mathbf{A}(\mathbf{r}_i), \mathbf{r}_i\right)} \longrightarrow \int d^{3N} p' \int d^3 r \, e^{-\beta H \left(\mathbf{p}_i - \frac{q_i}{c} \mathbf{A}(\mathbf{r}_i), \mathbf{r}_i\right)} \longrightarrow \int d^{3N} p' \int d^3 r \, e^{-\beta H \left(\mathbf{p}_i - \frac{q_i}{c} \mathbf{A}(\mathbf{r}_i), \mathbf{r}_i\right)} \longrightarrow \int d^{3N} p' \int d^3 r \, e^{-\beta H \left(\mathbf{p}_i - \frac{q_i}{c} \mathbf{A}(\mathbf{r}_i), \mathbf{r}_i\right)} \longrightarrow \int d^{3N} p' \int d^3 r \, e^{-\beta H \left(\mathbf{p}_i - \frac{q_i}{c} \mathbf{A}(\mathbf{r}_i), \mathbf{r}_i\right)} \longrightarrow \int d^3 r \, e^{-\beta H \left(\mathbf{p}_i - \frac{q_i}{c} \mathbf{A}(\mathbf{r}_i), \mathbf{r}_i\right)}$$

 \implies Partition function independent of B, hence also all thermodynamic observables do not respond to B: No magnetization possible!

 \Rightarrow Magnetization in materials must be due to quantum effects. Quantum magnetism? Cooperative effect!

 $3N_{re} - \beta H(\mathbf{p}'_i, \mathbf{r}_i)$

Part I: General Overview





Many-Body Systems?

Interactions

No interactions:



Ideal gas

Interactions lead to interesting physics.

With interactions:



Quantum Many-Body Systems: ín Nature and ín the Lab

Quantum Magnetism in Natural Minerals



Introduction to Frustrated Magnetism C. Lacroix, P. Mendels, F. Mila, Springer (2011)

$$\hat{H} = -\sum_i rac{\hbar^2}{2m_i}ec{
abla}_i^2 + \sum_{i
eq j} \hat{V}\left(ec{x}_i, ec{x}_j
ight)$$

Goal: Identify new states of matter



Quantum Physics in One Dimension, T. Giamarchi, Clarendon Press (2004)

Quantum Wires, Low Dimensions



I. Bloch, J.

Synthesized Materials: Cuprates



2003 Queen Mary, University of London

Correlated Electrons in high-temperature superconductors E. Dagotto, Rev. Mod. Phys. (1994)

Many-body physics with ultracold gases I. Bloch, J. Dalibard & W. Zwerger, Rev. Mod. Phys. (2008)

Ultracold Gases (Optical Lattices)

Quantum Many-Body Systems: Superposition & Entanglement I) Superposition of states is *also* a possible state $|\psi\rangle = |\text{dead}\rangle + |\text{alive}\rangle$

II) Entanglement: spin-1/2 particles (e.g., electrons) 2 particles: 4 possible states $|\psi\rangle = \begin{cases} |\uparrow\rangle \otimes |\uparrow\rangle \\ |\uparrow\rangle \otimes |\downarrow\rangle \\ |\downarrow\rangle \otimes |\uparrow\rangle \\ |\downarrow\rangle \otimes |\downarrow\rangle \end{cases}$ "classical", "product state"

Einstein:

«spooky action at a distance»





 $|\psi\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left(|\uparrow\rangle \otimes |\uparrow\rangle + |\downarrow\rangle \otimes |\downarrow\rangle\right)$ "entangled": not a product state



Bob



Quantum Many-Body Systems: Correlations

Correlated states:

"mean-field" picture of independent particles breaks down $\langle S_1^z S_2^z \rangle \neq \langle S_1^z \rangle \langle S_2^z \rangle + \langle (S_1^z - \langle S_1^z \rangle) (S_2^z - \langle S_2^z \rangle) \rangle$

Expectation values of observables for particles 1 and 2 *correlate with each other* a) because of entanglement

b) because of mutual interactions.

Small numerical values: need *accurate* methods

Quantum Many-Body Systems:

Exchange statistics:

Behavior at low temperatures:



At T=0:

Quantum fluctuations drive "quantum phase transitions".

Quantum States of Matter:

Spontaneous Breaking of Symmetries

Continuous phase transitions:







How to investigate this? Which quantities to compute?

expectation values: local order parameters, correlation functions, ...

"order parameter": broken symmetry

Unconventional States:

Topological Phases

"Topological order": <u>beyond</u> Landau paradigm

No local order parameter, instead:

- topological invariants (integer numbers)
- protection against local noise: quantum computing
- metallic surface states
 - dissipationless transport

Examples: integer and fractional quantum Hall effect





Phase transitions: jumps in transverse conductivity



Nobel Prize 2016

How to investigate this? Which quantities to compute?

topological invariants, energy gaps, *entanglement* properties,

Salvatore R. Manmana

. . .

Many-Body Systems Out-Of-Equilibrium: Highly Excited Materials



F. Krausz & M. Ivanov, RMP (2009)



S. Wall et al., Nature Physics (2010)



D. Fausti et al., Science (2011)

Photovoltaic effects $\[mathbf{0}]$ $\[mathbf$

How to investigate this? Which quantities to compute?

Formation of transient order? Creation of quasiparticles?

Part II: Basic Properties of Quantum Magnets



1, 2, 3:

Adding spins



ferromagnetic

antiferromagnetic

$$= \alpha |\uparrow\rangle + \beta |\downarrow\rangle, \alpha^2 + \beta^2 = 1 - \text{Qubits!}$$

Highly degenerate Frustration! Ground state

New configurations!

Quantum Magnets as realization of strongly correlated systems: Examples

Quantum magnetic materials: networks of many spins, realize collective quantum phenomena, e.g.

TlCuCl₃ (S = $\frac{1}{2}$ ladder): 0 **Bose-Einstein-Condensation of Magnons**



- $SrCu_2(BO_3)_2$ (S = $\frac{1}{2}$ Shastry-Sutherland lattice): Fractional magnetization plateaux, magnetic superstructures (supersolid?)
- Sr₂IrO₄ (square lattice iridate material): Spin-nematic state?



• Herbertsmithite $ZnCu_3(OH)_6Cl_2$ (S = $\frac{1}{2}$ kagome lattice): Algebraic spin liquid? More exotic state?

'Standard model': Heisenberg exchange on different geometries



Real materials: further effects, like spin-orbit coupling







Quantum Many-Body Systems: Typícal Lattíce Models

Hubbard model (1D):

$$\mathcal{H} = -t \sum_{\langle ij
angle, \sigma} \left[c^{\dagger}_{i+1,\sigma} c_{i,\sigma} + h.c.
ight] + U \sum_{i} n_{i,\uparrow} n_{i,\uparrow}$$

Heisenberg exchange: 2nd order perturbation theory for $U \gg t$ $J \, \vec{S}_1 \cdot \vec{S}_2$

Often anisotropy in one direction, XXZ model:

$$\mathscr{H} = J_{\perp} \sum_{\langle i,j \rangle} \left(S_i^x S_j^x + S_i^y S_j^y \right) + \Delta \sum_{\langle i,j \rangle} S_i^y$$



Basic properties:

Magnetisation Curves

Energie E(B)

0.8

0.6

0.4

0.2

Σ

- Dimer in magnetic field: $\mathscr{H} = J\mathbf{S}_1 \cdot \mathbf{S}_2 B(S_1^z + S_2^z)$
- Energies of the singlet:
- Energies of the triplets: $E_{t_1}(B) = \frac{1}{4}J B$, In general, obtain M(B) via: Legendre transform (if $S_{total}^z = \sum S_j^z$ is a good quantum

$$M(B) = \langle S_{total}^{z} \rangle \Big|_{\left[E_{0}(S_{total}^{z}, B=0)\right]}$$

 $E_{\rm S}(B) = -\frac{3}{4}J$

(Or directly as expectation value $M(B) = \sum \langle S_i^z \rangle(B)$ if



Part III: Fírst example – dímer system ín a magnetíc field



A híghly frustrated quantum magnet: SrCu₂(BO₃)₂



[H. Kageyama *et al.*, PRL **82**, 3168 (1999), K. Kodama et al., Science **298**, 395 (2002)]



- Series of fractional magnetization plateaux, e.g., at 1/8, 1/4, and 1/3 (+ further)
- Exotic states (e.g. spin-supersolid) in the vicinity or on the plateaux?
- Theoretical treatment of the full 2D system very challenging







Shastry-Sutherland Lattice:



- Full 2D system too difficult → take a stripe
- simplest stripe: 'orthogonal dimer chain' [Schulenburg & Richter, PRB 65, 054420 (2002)] \bullet infinite series of plateaux between M = 1/4 and 1/2
- 2 orthogonal dimer chains with transverse PBC: peculiar system, 'Shastry-Sutherland tube' \bullet
- crossover to 2D system: increase number of orthogonal dimer chains \bullet

Quasí-1D version of the Shastry-Sutherland lattice: "2-leg Shastry-tubes"

Magnetization curve: Compute ground state energies at different values of Sz_{total} Do a Legendre-transform



 \rightarrow Qualitative change of elementary building blocks: single triplons \rightarrow multi-triplon bound states



Quasí-2D version of the Shastry-Sutherland lattice: "4-leg Shastry-tubes"



- At boundaries: emerging 1D structures? ullet

Quasí-2D Shastry-Sutherland lattice: DMRG on the 1/8 plateau



Difference in E/N: only 6e-5 !!! [S. White on Kagome: difference between VBC and spin-liquid \approx 1e-3]

Approaching the 2D Shastry-Sutherland lattice: magnetization curve & comparison to experiments

[Y.H. Matsuda, N. Abe, S. Takeyama, H. Kageyama, P. Corboz, A. Honecker, S.R. Manmana, G.R. Foltin, K.P. Schmidt, and F. Mila, PRL **111**, 137204 (2013)]

iPEPS (2D, thermod. limit) 2.2 2D system: 2 1.8 1/2 DW 1/2 plateau 1.6 1/3 supersolid ۲^{1.4} 2/5 plateau 1.2 1/3 plateau 1 0.8 1/4 plateau 0.6 other plateaus / supersolid phases 0.55 0.6 0.65 0.5 0.7 J'/J



J'/J = 0.63:

Part IV: Even more unconventional States of Matter





One-Dímensíonal Systems:

Luttinger Liquids



Fermi liquid: quasi-free quasiparticles

1D:



Interaction & geometry don't allow for 'quasi-free' motion: collective excitations!

Spin- and charge degrees of freedom feel different influence: Spin-Charge-Separation!



[C. Blumenstein et al., Nat. Phys. (2011)] Salvatore R. Manmana

[T. Giamarchi, Quantum Physics in one dimension]

The bilinear-biquadratic S=1 Heisenberg chain: Ground state phase diagram at B=0



Takhtajan–Babujian point

Avatore R. Manmana

(Quasí-)Long-Range-Order

,Magnetic' long range order in spin systems: spontaneous breaking of the SU(2) symmetry

in Spin Systems

- S=1/2: breaking of SU(2) signifies finite magnetization
- S>1/2: alternative mechanism to break SU(2) without finite magnetizations
 - \blacktriangleright Note that, e.g., $\langle (S_i^+)^2 \rangle \neq 0$ while $\langle S_i^z \rangle = 0$ at the same time

Consider the full operator space (S=1): products of local spin operators \rightarrow 9 possible elements

- 1 element: length of the spin, $1/3S(S+1)\delta_{\alpha\beta}$
- 3 elements: antisymmetric terms: $1/2 (S_{\alpha}S_{\beta} S_{\beta}S_{\alpha}) = S_{\gamma}$
- 5 elements: symmetric traceless tensor operator $Q_{\alpha\beta} = 1/2 \left(S_{\alpha}S_{\beta} + S_{\beta}S_{\alpha}\right) 1/3S(S+1)\delta_{\alpha,\beta}$

→ Quadrupolar order parameter:

$$\vec{Q}_{i} = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{2}{\sqrt{3}} \left[(S_{i}^{z})^{2} - \frac{1}{4} \left(S_{i}^{+} S_{i}^{-} + S_{i}^{-} S_{i}^{+} \right) \right] \\ \frac{1}{2} \left(S_{i}^{+} S_{i}^{z} + S_{i}^{z} S_{i}^{+} + S_{i}^{-} S_{i}^{z} + S_{i}^{z} S_{i}^{-} \right) \\ -\frac{i}{2} \left(S_{i}^{+} S_{i}^{z} + S_{i}^{z} S_{i}^{+} - S_{i}^{-} S_{i}^{z} - S_{i}^{z} S_{i}^{-} \right) \\ -\frac{i}{2} \left[\left(S_{i}^{+} \right)^{2} - \left(S_{i}^{-} \right)^{2} \right] \\ \frac{1}{2} \left[\left(S_{i}^{+} \right)^{2} + \left(S_{i}^{-} \right)^{2} \right] \end{pmatrix}$$

Not "pointing" in specific direction: spin nematic state (see, e.g., K. Penc, lecture notes ICTP Trieste)

"fluctuations around specific direction" - looks like donuts...



R. Manmana

Spin chains:

How to characterise the phases?

One spatial dimension: no "true" long-range order, but algebraic decay of correlation functions possible

- Phases characterized by "dominant" (slowest decaying) correlation functions.
- Here we compare:

spin correlations

$$C_{S}^{long}(i,j) = \langle S_{i}^{z}S_{j}^{z}\rangle - \langle S_{i}^{z}\rangle\langle S_{j}^{z}\rangle$$
$$C_{S}^{trans}(i,j) = \langle S_{i}^{-}S_{j}^{+}\rangle$$

quadrupolar correlations:

$$C_Q(i,j) = \langle \vec{Q}_i \cdot \vec{Q}_j \rangle - \langle \vec{Q}_i \rangle \cdot \langle \vec{Q}_j \rangle$$

parity breaking, vector chiral order:

vector chirality $\vec{\kappa}_j = \langle \mathbf{S}_j \times \mathbf{S}_{j+1} \rangle \ C_{\kappa}(i,j) = \langle \vec{\kappa}_i \cdot \vec{\kappa}_j \rangle$



longitudinal: magnetic qlro transverse: quasi-condens. of magnons

3 components:

- longitudinal: $\Delta S^z = 0$
- transverse: $\Delta S^z = 1$
 - pairing: $\Delta S^z = 2$

 \rightarrow quasi-condens. of *pairs* of magnons

2 components:

longitudinal: parity breaking transverse: ~ transv. spin

S=1 Bílínear-Bíquadratíc Heisenberg Chain in Magnetic Fields: Correlation Functions



- No vector chiral order
- below the kink: Luttinger-liquid phase with spin-nematic quasi-long-range order

[S.R. Manmana, A.M. Läuchli, F.H.L. Essler, and F. Mila, PRB 83, 184433 (2011)]

e R. Manmana

The bilinear-biquadratic S=1 Heisenberg chain: Phase Diagram at Finite Magnetic Fields



[S.R. Manmana, A.M. Läuchli, F.H.L. Essler, and F. Mila, PRB 83, 184433 (2011)]

S=1 Bílínear-Bíquadratíc Heisenberg Chains: The AKLT State

Sketch of the AKLT state:

- "Topological" phase (symmetry protected topological state, SPT)
- Exact ground state of $\mathscr{H} = \sum_{j} \left[\mathbf{S}_{j} \cdot \mathbf{S}_{j+1} + \frac{1}{3} \left(\mathbf{S}_{j} \cdot \mathbf{S}_{j+1} \right)^{2} \right]$
- No local order parameter, but string order parameter
- Fractional excitations: effective S=1/2 at the edges





->{↓↓|





Nobel Prize 2016 for Topol. Phases

More unconventional states:

Symmetry Protected Topological Phases

Possible characterization (X.-G. Wen):

- new kind of order at T=0
- SPT phases possess a symmetry and a finite energy gap.
- SPT states are <u>short-range entangled</u> states with a symmetry.
- defining properties:

(a) distinct SPT states with a given symmetry cannot smoothly deform into each other without phase transition, *if the deformation preserves the symmetry.*

(b) however, they all can smoothly deform into the same trivial product state without phase transition, if we break the symmetry during deformation.

Note: "Real" Topological Phases 🍽 "long-range entanglement" (Wen)



Símple System with two SPT'Phases: 2-leg ladder with anisotropic interactions

 $\mathcal{F}_{i,a} \cdot S_{i+1,a} \longrightarrow SU(2)$ Symmetric $\lambda_{xy} \left(S_{i,1}^{x} S_{i,2}^{x} + S_{i,1}^{y} S_{i,2}^{y} \right) \\ + \lambda_{z} S_{i,1}^{z} S_{i,2}^{z}$ \Rightarrow no Su(2) on ranges (anly U(1))





Símple System with two SPT'Phases: 2-leg ladder with anisotropic interactions

Characterize topological phases via "entanglement spectrum":

F. Pollmann, A. Turner, E. Berg, and M. Oshikawa, PRB 81, 064439 (2010)

A
$$|\alpha\rangle_j$$
 B $|\beta\rangle_j$

$$|\psi\rangle = \sum_{j=1}^{\dim \mathcal{H}} \sqrt{\lambda_j} |\alpha\rangle_j |\beta\rangle_j$$

 λ_i : eigenvalues reduced density matrix, give entanglement spectrum

"Entanglement Splitting" test for 2-fold degeneracy:

$$ES = \sum_{j ext{ odd}} \left(\lambda_j - \lambda_{j+1}
ight)$$

test topological properties!

• staggered magnetization along the legs:

$$\langle m \rangle = \langle S^z_{L/2,\,1} \rangle - \langle S^z_{L/2+1,\,1} \rangle$$

• Spin gaps:

singlet gap: $\Delta_{S}^{0} = E_{1}(S_{\text{total}}^{z} = 0) - E_{0}(S_{\text{total}}^{z} = 0)$ triplet gap: $\Delta_{S}^{1} = E_{0}(S_{\text{total}}^{z} = 1) - E_{0}(S_{\text{total}}^{z} = 0)$ 2nd triplet gap: $\Delta_S^{1,2} = E_0(S_{\text{total}}^z = 2) - E_0(S_{\text{total}}^z = 1)$

Símple System with two SPT Phases: 2-leg ladder with anisotropic interactions

Symmetry of the ladder: $D_2 \times \sigma$ ($D_2 = \{E, R_x, R_y, R_z\}$; σ : rung exchange) ■ 8 distinct SPT phases: from projective representations, characterized via 'active operators'

| | R_z | R_x | σ | Active operators | SPT |
|------------------------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------------------------------|--------------|
| E_0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | | Rung-single |
| E_1 | Ι | $i\sigma_z$ | σ_y | $(S_{-}^{z}, S_{+}^{z}, SS_{-})$ | t_x |
| E_2 | σ_z | Ι | $i\sigma_y$ | $(S_{-}^{x}, S_{+}^{x}, SS_{-})$ | t_y |
| E_3 | $i\sigma_z$ | σ_x | Ι | $(S_{+}^{x}, S_{+}^{y}, S_{+}^{z})$ | $t_0, t_x >$ |
| E_4 | σ_z | $i\sigma_z$ | $i\sigma_x$ | $(S_{+}^{y}, S_{-}^{y}, SS_{-})$ | t_x |
| E_5 | $i\sigma_z$ | σ_x | $i\sigma_x$ | $(S_{+}^{x}, S_{-}^{y}, S_{-}^{z})$ | |
| E_6 | $i\sigma_z$ | $i\sigma_x$ | σ_z | $(S_{-}^{x}, S_{-}^{y}, S_{+}^{z})$ | |
| E_7 | $i\sigma_z$ | $i\sigma_x$ | $i\sigma_y$ | $(S_{-}^{x}, S_{+}^{y}, S_{-}^{z})$ | |
| With $O_{\pm} = O_1 \pm O_2$ | | | | | |

[Z.-X. Liu, Z.-B. Yang, Y.-J. Han, W. Yi, and X.-G. Wen, PRB (2012)]

phases

 $\mathrm{et}^{\mathrm{a}}, t_{x} \times t_{x}, \ldots$ $\times t_{v}$ $\times t_{z}$ $\times t_y \times t_z$ $\times t_z$ t_x t_z t_{v}

Símple System with two SPT'Phases: 2-leg ladder with anisotropic interactions

Nearest neighbor interactions: (DMRG with up to 400 rungs)



Ground-state degeneracy:

to phase:

 $S_1^{x+S_2^{x}}$: E = 0 = -188.25372468551E 1 = -188.24741526006 $S_1^{x}-S_2^{x}$: E 0 = -188.24728807477E 1 = -188.2472878754

[S.R. Manmana et al., PRB (rapid comm.) 87, 081106(R) (2013)]

t_z phase:

 $S_1^{x+S_2^{x}}$: E 0 = -188.24727291579E 1 = -188.24727272182

$$S_1^x - S_2^x$$
:
 $E_0 = -188.25372545779$
 $E_1 = -188.24741603227$

The kagome antíferromagnet

Wikipedia: kago: bamboo basket me: "eyes" (holes) [I. Syôzi, Prog. Theor. Phys. 6, 306 (1951).]

Highly frustrated system: only corner sharing triangles! [see, e.g., G. Misguich & C. Lhuillier, cond-mat/0310405]
Unconventional properties:

 Exponential number of singlet excitations above the ground state

Candidate for algebraic spin liquid state

• Realization in nature?



s=1/2 kagome material: Herbertsmithite $ZnCu_3(OH)_6Cl_2$



but:

5-10% non-magnetic impurities significant Dzyaloshinskii-Moriya interactions



$$\mathcal{H} = J \sum_{\langle ij \rangle} \mathbf{s}_i \cdot$$

Good realization of the s=1/2 Heisenberg system on the kagome geometry,

Model: $\mathbf{s}_{ij} + \sum_{\langle ij angle} \mathbf{D}_{ij} \cdot (\mathbf{s}_i imes \mathbf{s}_j) - \mathbf{B} \cdot \mathbf{S}_j$

Real materials:

Dzyaloshínsky-Moríya interactions

 $J \vec{S_1} \cdot \vec{S_2}$ Heisenberg exchange interaction: Origin due to the "hopping" of the electrons, obtained in 2nd order perturbation theory $\sim \lambda \, \vec{L} \cdot \vec{S}$ $\lambda \ll 1$ Spin-Orbit Coupling:

Effective magnetic Hamiltonian from 2nd order perturbation theory when including this interaction:

$$\hat{H}_{\text{eff}} = J' \,\vec{S}_1 \cdot \vec{S}_2 + \vec{D} \cdot \left(\vec{S}_1 \times \vec{S}_2\right) + \vec{S}_1$$
$$J' \sim \lambda^0 \qquad |\vec{D}| \sim \lambda \qquad |\Gamma$$

DM term antisymmetric under exchange of spins, while Heisenberg term symmetric ▶ Typically: D ~ 1 - 10% of J Standard references:

I. Dzyaloshinsky, J. Phys. Chem. Solids 4, 241-255 (1958).

T. Moriya, Phys. Rev. Lett. 4, 228 (1960); Phys. Rev. 120, 91 (1960).

 $\cdot \mathbf{\Gamma} \cdot \vec{S_2} + \dots$

 $\sim \lambda^2$

The simplest system:

S=1/2 dimer with DM interaction

$$\hat{H}_{12} = J \,\vec{S}_1 \cdot \vec{S}_2 + \vec{D} \cdot \left(\vec{S}_1 \times \vec{S}_2\right) - \vec{H} \cdot \left(\vec$$

Moriya's rules: D orthogonal to the dimer.

Symmetries of the Dimer with DM in a magnetic field: Permutation 1 <-> 2 + Sx -> -Sx. Consequence:

Staggered magnetization:

$$m_s := \frac{1}{2} \langle \vec{S}_1 - \vec{S}_2 \rangle \sim \vec{D} \times \vec{H}$$

$$m_u := \frac{1}{2} \langle \vec{S}_1 + \vec{S}_2 \rangle \sim \left(\vec{D} \times \vec{H} \right) >$$

[S. Miyahara et al., PRB 75, 184402 (2007)]

 $\langle S_1^x \rangle$ $-\langle S_2^x \rangle$ = $\langle S_1^y \rangle$ $\langle S_2^y \rangle$ = $\langle S_1^z \rangle$ $\langle S_2^z \rangle$ =

 $\times \vec{D}$

in the second of The stating appropriate methods as the stating of the station of the stating of the decide the existence of the company of the transition to the semiclassical state should be within a flyer on gvest of come to the cherring of the transition to the semiclassical state should be the the semiclassical state should be the transition to the semiclassical state should be the the semiclassical state should be the transition to the semiclassical state should be the the semiclassical state should be the transition to the semiclassical state should be the the semiclassical state should be the semiclassical state should be the the semiclassical state should be the transition to the semiclassical state should be the the semiclassical state should be the transition to the semiclassical state should be the the semiclassical state should be the transition to the semiclassical state should be the the semiclassical state should be the transition to the semiclassical state should be the the semiclassical state should be the transition to the semiclassical state should be the the semiclassical state should be the transition to the tr long-range ordered This of the second seco Data site of the strength of these states should allow governed for the four representative values of <math>D/J and by J, which is the reason why $\lambda_m^{D/J}$ remaining oppression of the two-dimensional arrows whose components dependent, for $D/J \leq 0.06$ in Fig. 5. We difference and the second stand imaginary parts of \boldsymbol{v}_m . At $D/J \leq 0.06$, there the profile shown in Fig. 7(a) does not represented dominant mode as is the case at large D, but the stron-magnetization response this is shown in Fig. 7(a) corresponds nevertheless to the rather the dominant fluctuation mode. In population of strong spin correlations around the impurity [Fig. The situation changes dramatically and the tethe Fogselations in this mode are confined to the strong 7(a) and 7(d)], where the system develors and the paraged xtrong the impurity, where the spins are almost anti-with the Majority of spins participating in the parallet of the parallet of the local correlations is governed sical 120° state. The data show clearly that one considered the reason why λ_m remains essentially D infrom the dimerlike regime at small D/J to the dentered not have $D/J \leq 0.06$ in Fig. 5. We emphasize again that **Stapp**ofile shown in Fig. 7(a) does not represent the actual nostnamietization response—this is shown in Fig. 2(a)—but

Characteríse the phases?

Correlation Matrix

Correlation matrix:

$C_{i,j} := \langle \Psi_0 | s_i^+ s_j^- | \Psi_0 \rangle$

• Dominant eigenstate analogous to condensate wave function in superfluids – natural orbital • Value of local magnetizations given by $\langle s_j^+
angle = \langle s_j^x
angle + i \langle s_j^y
angle = \sqrt{\lambda_m} v_m(j)$

▶ Ordered phase for D/J > 0.1, non-ordered phase for D/J < 0.1

Finite size extrapolation of λ_m :

Part V: Dynamícs Structure Factors and Nonequílíbríum Behavíour

Inelastic Neutron Scattering: Dynamical Structure Factors

One ends up measuring how the energy E of the spin wave varies with the vector q.

[Movie on Wikipedia: <u>https://w.wiki/BCgs</u>]

 \rightarrow Explore elementary excitations of the system

Línear Response:

Spectral Functions at Finite Field

Dynamical structure factor $S^{z}(k,\omega)$ of a S-1/2 Heisenberg chain when changing an external magnetic field:

Momentum k

[T. Köhler, Master thesis, U. Göttingen 2013]

Transient states out-of-equilibrium: Can we change the magnetisation by photo excitation?

Typical setup: pump-probe experiments (on fs/ps/ns time scales)

Phys. Rev. B 102, 014302 (2020)]

Conclusions & Outlook

4. Unconventional states of matter: Luttinger liquids, spin nematic states, topological phases

5. Dynamical sturcture factors and nonequilibrium behavior

Nat. Mat. 4 (2005) 329

[B. Lake et al.,

Thank you for your attention!

